Exhibit J

| 1 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA |
|----|---|
| 2 | COUNTY OF ALAMEDA |
| 3 | |
| 4 | MARLIN LEWIS EAGLES and Case No. 22CV018294 |
| | GEORGIA EAGLES, |
| 5 | |
| | Plaintiffs, |
| 6 | |
| | vs. |
| 7 | |
| | ARVINMERITOR, INC., et al., |
| 8 | |
| | Defendants. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF |
| 14 | WILLIAM LONGO, PH.D. |
| 15 | Suwanee, Georgia |
| 16 | Monday, October 23, 2023 |
| 17 | Volume 2 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Reported by: |
| | LESLIE JOHNSON |
| 22 | RPR, CCRR, CSR No. 11451 |
| 23 | Job No.: 6167398 |
| 24 | PAGES 166 - 281 |
| 25 | |
| | Page 166 |

| 1 | A I'm with you. | 12:39:19 |
|----|--|----------|
| 2 | Q I just want to first just talk about what | |
| 3 | talc itself should look like in 1.550 oil. Okay. | |
| 4 | A Sure. | |
| 5 | Q And so this says for the RI parallel to | 12:39:35 |
| 6 | the fiber lengths we're talking about parallel | |
| 7 | talc fibers have a range of 1,589 to 1,600 resulting | |
| 8 | in a pale yellow dispersion color when immersed in | |
| 9 | 1.550 RI liquid. | |
| 10 | First of all, is that correct? | 12:40:00 |
| 11 | A Yeah. You can have a range like that. | |
| 12 | Sometimes a little bit lower. Sometimes higher. | |
| 13 | But that's I don't argue with that. | |
| 14 | Q But, typically, talc elongated talc in | |
| 15 | parallel should be a pale yellow, and the range they | 12:40:14 |
| 16 | give here is 1,589 to 1,600, right? | |
| 17 | A That's what they give. | |
| 18 | (Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) | |
| 19 | BY MR. DUBIN: | |
| 20 | Q Okay. And just so we can see something | 12:40:25 |
| 21 | about talc in general, not just talc fiber, I'll | |
| 22 | mark as the next exhibit in order, which will be 25, | |
| 23 | just the IARC 2010 monograph on talc. And if we | |
| 24 | could call that up and go to page 289. | |
| 25 | No. It should be 1.13. | 12:41:11 |
| | | Page 245 |

| 1 | you can identify any talc plates. We can take the | 12:58:16 |
|----|---|----------|
| 2 | one that's up slightly to the right of the one you | |
| 3 | just called chrysotile. What color would you call | |
| 4 | that for purposes of determining its refractive | |
| 5 | index? | 12:58:28 |
| 6 | A That would be somewhere in the 1.563 to | |
| 7 | 1.566, 67. Somewhere around there. | |
| 8 | Q Remind me what color that corresponds to. | |
| 9 | A What you see there. | |
| 10 | Q So are you calling it red? Are you | 12:58:43 |
| 11 | calling it golden yellow? What color are you | |
| 12 | calling it? I want to know what you see in that | |
| 13 | particle. | |
| 14 | A I see sort of a goldish-yellow and some | |
| 15 | red on the outside. I don't typically, you want | 12:58:59 |
| 16 | to take the very end, but this is a particle plate, | |
| 17 | since it's not fibrous. And we do have some single | |
| 18 | fibers in there, but they're too small to really | |
| 19 | resolve. And that's what I would call it, based on | |
| 20 | just that photograph. | 12:59:19 |
| 21 | Q So I'm just saying, I think one of the | |
| 22 | things you told me before is that when you do this | |
| 23 | analysis, you're basing it on what color it is at | |
| 24 | the edge of the particle, right? | |
| 25 | A With our new microscope, yes, we are, | 12:59:31 |
| | | Page 256 |

| 1 | because we have better resolution. Here we have | 12:59:33 |
|----|---|----------|
| 2 | every particle has some of the red around it. And I | |
| 3 | don't know if that's just an artifact or not. But | |
| 4 | I'm just you asked me, and I'm telling you. | |
| 5 | Q Okay. I understand. So but your view | 12:59:49 |
| 6 | the red you agree the red on these pictures may | |
| 7 | be an artifact, right? | |
| 8 | A Maybe. I don't know. I'd have to focus | |
| 9 | in on it to see if we you know, the focus is off, | |
| 10 | et cetera. So I'm giving you my best estimate based | 01:00:04 |
| 11 | on the photograph. | |
| 12 | Q Okay. And so at some point you changed | |
| 13 | microscope, as I understand it, and no longer have | |
| 14 | the tungsten lightbulb, right? | |
| 15 | A It's LED. | 01:00:24 |
| 16 | Q Now, I want to ask you about so before | |
| 17 | you changed, did you have various different PLMs | |
| 18 | before that, some of which had tungsten lightbulbs, | |
| 19 | some of which didn't, or did they all have a | |
| 20 | tungsten lightbulb? | 01:00:44 |
| 21 | A We had 3D exact same microscope. | |
| 22 | Q Okay. All with the tungsten lightbulb? | |
| 23 | A Older old PLM microscopes. | |
| 24 | Q Okay. So I want to mark next, which, | |
| 25 | unless Joe corrects me again, will be 28. | 01:00:59 |
| | | Page 257 |

| 1 | Valadez report. | 01:08:23 |
|----|--|----------|
| 2 | MR. DUBIN: And, Jake, that's Tab 55, if | |
| 3 | we want to call it out. | |
| 4 | MR. SATTERLEY: Exhibit 30? | |
| 5 | MR. DUBIN: That will be Exhibit 30. | 01:08:36 |
| 6 | (Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) | |
| 7 | MR. DUBIN: And, if we can go to page 32. | |
| 8 | Sorry. Is this the Valadez report, Jake, | |
| 9 | Tab 55? And then page 32? It should have images. | |
| 10 | MR. KEESTER: Is it this one? | 01:09:23 |
| 11 | MR. DUBIN: Yeah. Let's rotate it. | |
| 12 | BY MR. DUBIN: | |
| 13 | Q So I asked you about this particle before, | |
| 14 | and I think you well, let me just ask you. What | |
| 15 | color were you calling this? | 01:09:46 |
| 16 | A A brownish-gold I guess. More on the | |
| 17 | brownish side, brownish-gold, which what we're | |
| 18 | seeing in the 1.560. | |
| 19 | Q So this is M71614-001CSM-001 chrysotile. | |
| 20 | Okay? | 01:10:04 |
| 21 | MR. DUBIN: And let's go to page 37 above | |
| 22 | this. Scroll down because it should have the | |
| 23 | correct image. Let's go down. Okay. Let's flip | |
| 24 | that. Scroll that down. | |
| 25 | / / / / | |
| | | Page 263 |

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Leslie Johnson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 6 7 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of 8 9 the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand 10 which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 11 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given. 12 13 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 15 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 16 17 I further certify I am neither financially interested in 18 the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or 19 any party to this action. 2.0 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 21 subscribed my name. 22 Dated: October 24, 2023 deslie Johnson 23 24 LESLIE JOHNSON 25 CSR No. 11451, RPR, CCRR

Page 278